



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/682,133	10/09/2003	Richard D. Dettinger	ROC920030237US1	1361
	7590 11/28/2007 ATION, INTELLECTUA	EXAMINER		
DEPT 917, BL	DG. 006-1	PHAM, KHANH B		
3605 HIGHWAY 52 NORTH ROCHESTER, MN 55901-7829			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		·	2166	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/28/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
10/682,133	DETTINGER ET AL.	DETTINGER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit		
Khanh B. Pham	2166		

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with t	the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 13 November 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITIO	ON FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notic this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The replatime periods:	e of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of t, affidavit, or other evidence, which or in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the n	nailing date of the final rejection.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	N THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CF have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding am under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee γ originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 mus	t he filed within two months of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 finds filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e) a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth AMENDMENTS	e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a t	brief will not be entered because
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by material	lly reducing or simplifying the issues for
appeal; and/or	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finall	y rejected claims.
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of No.	n-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separ non-allowable claim(s). 	•
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	will be entered and an explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-17,26-41,50 and 51</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the af was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ffidavit or other evidence is necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presente	appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims af	ter entry is below or attached.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the applicat	ion in condition for allowance because:
See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	
	•
13. Other:	Kjoham
	Khanh B. Pham
ı	Primary Examiner

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Regarding claims 1-8, 26-32 and 50, applicant argued that Scalzo does not teach "updating an operation status based on a result of a subsequent query operation". On the contrary, Scalzo teaches at page 7 the step of executing "fgets" command to update the operation status. Applicant argued that "fgets" command does not update the operation status based on a result of the subsequent query operation, the examiner respectfully disagrees. Scalzo teaches in form of source codes that after each iteration of executing, the operation status is checked (by examining the result of "fgets") to determined whether to continue executing the while loop. The "fgets" command therefore serves as a function to update the operation status. If the operation status is not updated, then the while loop will run indefinitely.

Regarding claims 9-17, 33-41, and 51, applicant argued that Scalzo does not teach "managing, using a composite query operation manager, execution of the initial query operation and the plurality of subsequent query operations on the basis of selection logic and the plurality of failure condition". Scalzo clearly teaches at pages 7-8 the step of executing an initial query operation (i.e. "update_command"), and based on the plurality of failure conditions (i.e., "if (sqlca.sqlcode == 1403)" and if (sqlca.sqlcode !=0)), performing the subsequent operation. Further, Scalso teaches the UPDATE and INSERT operations are performed within a "WHILE" loop so that multiple operations are performed while the conditions of the WHILE loop are true. Scalso therefore teaches at least an initial query and a plurality of subsequent query operations being executed based on the basis of selection logic and the plurality of failure conditions" as claimed. The "fgets" command therefore serves as a function to update the operation status. If the operation status is not updated, then the while loop will run indefinitely.